

1.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/047,059 01/14/2002 Earl J. Votolato SPELL-009A 3514 7590 08/01/2003 Kit M. Stetina STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER Suite 250 75 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3765	APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Kit M. Stetina STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER Suite 250 75 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 EXAMINER LINDSEY, RODNEY M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		10/047,059 01/14/2002		Earl J. Votolato	SPELL-009A	3514
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER Suite 250 75 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 LINDSEY, RODNEY M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		75	90 08/01/2003			
Suite 250 75 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 LINDSEY, RODNEY M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER				EXAMINER		
75 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			INDA GARRED & BRU	LINDSEY, RODNEY M		
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656		75 Enterprise			ARTINIT	PAPER NIIMRER
		Aliso Viejo, CA	X 92656			
					DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	(*)	Applicant(s)										
		10/047,059		VOTOLATO, EARL J.										
	Office Action Summary													
omce Action Gainnary		Examiner		Art Unit										
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Rodney M. Linds		3765	dress									
Period fo	-	opears on the cover		o.,copo.,aooo aa	u. 000									
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).													
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	July 2003 .												
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-fi	nal.											
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow				e merits is									
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.										
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	۱.												
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consider	ation.											
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.														
6)⊠														
7)														
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Exam														
								Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
							11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.														
							Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.														
							2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
							 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).										
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.														
Attachment														
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT										
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office v. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 5										

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 3 the limitation of "finders" is not understood and it appears should be --fingers--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koffler et al. Koffler et al. shows an ambidextrous utensil or mitt (see page 1, column 2, line 13) with a pocket 10 for receiving four fingers up to substantially the second joint (see page 1, column 2, line 5) and a pocket 11 for receiving a thumb, the utensil formed of a flexible material (see page 1, column 1, line 54).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koffler et al. in view of Suzuki.

Koffler et al. does not teach forming the utensil of plastic. Suzuki teaches that it is old in the art of hand implements to form the utensil of plastic (see column 4, line 61). It would have been obvious to form the utensil of Koffler et al. of plastic in the manner of Suzuki since one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would readily have recognized the advantage of plastic construction in enhancing the durability of the utensil while retaining its flexibility.

7. Claims 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koffler et al. in view of Vandermer.

Vandermer teaches that it is old to provide color to a utensil 10 (see column 1, line 65). It would have been obvious to provide the utensil of Koffler et al. with a particular color in the manner of Vandermer to achieve the advantage of distinguishing the utensil from a utensil of a different color. With respect to claim 6 Vandermer teaches old opposing flat surfaces as shown in Figure 3. It would have been obvious to provide that the opposing surfaces of the pockets of Koffler et al. be flat in the manner of the opposing surfaces of the pockets of Vandermer since one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would readily have recognized the expedience of flat surfaces in affording a like engagement of a member to be grasped as shown by Vandermer.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koffler et al. in view of Jones.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

Art Unit: 3765

Koffler et al. does not teach forming the utensil of paper as claimed. Jones teaches old in the art of hand implements the interchangeability of paper and plastic or rubber-like material (see column 3, lines 12-15). It would have been obvious to form the utensil of Koffler et al. of paper in the manner of Jones since one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would readily have recognized the expedience of paper in permitting a cheaper and more disposable utensil.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

Art Unit: 3765

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (703) 305-7818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9301.

Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

rml

July 30, 2003